## HPNS Technical Team Meeting Agenda October 3, 2017, 1000-1100 AM PT

## 1. Welcome and check-in

Navy BRAC – Pat Brooks, Danielle Janda, Derek Robinson, Thomas Macchiarella
Navy BRAC Consultants – Scott Hay, Kim Henderson, Kathy Higley, Kira Sykes, Craig Bias
RASO – Zach Edwards, Matt Slack

**EPA and consultants** – Karla Brasaemle, John Chesnutt, Jana Dawson, David Kappelman, Lily Lee, Lyndsey Nguyen, Anita Singh, Donna Getty, Brianna Fairbanks, Jackie Lane **DTSC** – Nina Bacey, Janet Naito

CDPH - Tracy Jue, Sheetal Singh

**City (includes OCII/SFDPH and consultants)** – Amy Brownell, Bob Burns, Christina Rain **Water Board** – <del>Tina Low</del>, Tina Ures

## 2. Soil

- Parcels B and G report
  - i. Draft to Technical Team 9/29 The draft report was posted on SharePoint and EPA's OneDrive. If anyone is having issues with access, contact Kim.
  - ii. Comments due 10/13 Lily indicated that EPA is challenged to meet the due date based on their health physicists being deployed to Puerto Rico and is prioritizing review of the Parcel G portion of the report based on the City's request. Pat requested a schedule for when comments will be submitted.
    - 1. Lily provided initial comments 10/2 and the following were discussed to expedite reviews:
      - a. The plots on data evaluation forms are too blurry to read axis labels. Kim indicated this may be a function of PDF and will look into this. Native Word files of the forms or of the individual plots could be provided. Nina indicated concerns with legibility since the document will be made public in the future.
      - b. The scales on the plots should all the same and the QQ plots and box plots should presented side-by-side. Pat indicated that based on the schedule and reformatting of the hundreds of forms, the considerable effort was not compatible with the schedule. The consultants and Navy have reviewed and evaluated the data without issue using the plots initially generated and the replotting would not change the conclusions or recommendations.
      - c. EPA requested additional information on data quality for soil that may or may not be signs of falsification. These survey units were identified in the report for confirmation sampling. David has specific questions for survey units that should be noted and discussed during the working meeting.

- iii. Consider working meeting to address comments real-time
- Parcels D-2, UC-1, UC-2, and UC-3 report
  - i. Pre-draft to Navy this week
  - ii. Draft to Technical Team November 10/27
- EPA's data evaluation findings EPA has encountered challenges on conducting evaluations since the Navy is the lead, they do not feel comfortable making recommendations for additional sampling to address general uncertainty at this time.
  - i. Will a list of additional survey units recommended for sampling be provided?
     See above.
  - ii. Update on findings of PCA? No update was provided.
- SAP and Work Plan
  - i. SAP responses to additional EPA comments and redlines to Technical Team next week
  - ii. Pre-Draft Work Plan to Navy 10/2
  - iii. Draft Work Plan to Technical Team October 20th
- 3. Building Scans
  - Working on approach discuss on future status call
  - CDPH comments discuss on future status call
- 4. Schedule and topics for future calls
  - Next call: Tuesday 10/17, 1000-1100 AM PT
  - Potential future topics:
    - i. Plan for Parcels B and G reports
    - ii. Building scan approach
    - iii. TSPs
    - iv. Soil sampling efficiencies